

UNITED STATES, JEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER		STATES OF VA			
	FILING DATE				•
09 896,052		FIRST NAMED AF	PPLICANT	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
			L	EXAMINER	
			L	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					9
•••	INTERVI	EW SUMMARY	DATE	MAILED:	•
All participants (applicant, applicant's re	Presentative, PTO personnell				
					•
2) Simond		$(3) \underline{G - S \cdot k}$	(1shore	-	
Pate of Interview 2 - 25-c	3	(4)			
ype: Telephonic Training					
reievideo Con	ference Personal (copy is	given to Capplian-			
			II //KDP/\niioo	Po	
reement was reached. was no	(Pached	f description:	- Sapplican	r o representa	ative).
reement was reached. Swas not	reached.	description:			ative).
reement was reached. Swas not im(s) discussed: Claims multification of prior art discussed:	reached. reached. Primart on	remd (M	chta: L	ue) ·	
reement was reached. Was not im(s) discussed: Claims manufication of prior art discussed:	reached. neard Primart on	ruend (M	lehta: L	ue) ·	
reement was reached. Was not im(s) discussed: Claims m ntification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that a management	reached. Read Priwant on as agreed to if an agreement w	ruerd (M	lehta: L	ue) ·	
reement was reached. Was not sim(s) discussed: Claims an intification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that a Mccolums 108) the following	reached. Riwart on as agreed to if an agreement which were discussed. S	ras reached, or any oth	tha. Lener comments:	se). The diff	Jeren as between the core (also disci
reement was reached. Was not sim(s) discussed: Claims an intification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that a Mccolums 108) the following	reached. Riwart on as agreed to if an agreement which were discussed. S	ras reached, or any oth	tha. Lener comments:	se). The diff	Jeren as between the core (also disci
reement was reached. Was not sim(s) discussed: Claims an intification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that a Mccolums 108) the following	reached. Primart on Briwart on as agreed to if an agreement which were discussed. S were myseld. i) Melaime . The cl	ras reached, or any other ince Michael displayed and suggestions	her comments:	se). The diff	Jeren as between the core (also disci
reement was reached. Awas not im(s) discussed: Claims on ntification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that a Mccolums 708) the following define the brittleness was the brittleness was the attached	reached. Priwart on as agreed to if an agreement when were discussed. S were myseld. i) Melaims inthe	rescription: Mescription: Mas reached, or any oth ince Mehta di define soft con ango suggestes be determined	her comments: Schoses gree further	The diff latin in perhaps re	Jerences between the core (also disch eciting also pectin the independent clair
reement was reached. Awas not im(s) discussed: Claims mentification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that y Mecolums 708) the following a define the brittleness of the brittleness of the attached to be description, if necessary, and a copy of the editached. Also, where no copy of the	reached. reached. Print ant on as agreed to if an agreement when were discussed. S Were myseld. i) Led claims will the next office a to of the amendments which would amend the property of the amendments which would amendments which would amendments which would amendments.	reached, or any other ince Michael disconsiderated and suggested and sug	ner comments: schools gr e further d are appl after of	The diff latin in perhaps re	Jerences between the core (also disch eciting also pectin the independent clair
reement was reached. Awas not im(s) discussed: Claims mentification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that a Maccolumns 708) the following with brittleness of the brittleness of the attached. Also, where no copy of the standard copy of t	reached. Priwart on as agreed to if an agreement when were discussed. S Were myseld. i) I claims will the next office as of the amendments which would ren	rescription: Mas reached, or any other ince Michael di define soft con angle suggester be determined to an e, which the examiner ider the claims allowable	ner comments: Schoses gr (e further d are appl after gr agreed would ble is available,	Jhe difficulties in further conder the classification assummary is	Jerences between the core (also dischediting also pedin It independent clair rean ch, A copy aims allowable thereof must be
reement was reached. Was not sim(s) discussed: Claims mentification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that g Mc columns 708) the following a define the brittleness of the brittleness of the attached. Also, where no copy of the eattached. Also, where no copy of the entire the paragraph above has been checked.	reached. Priwart m as agreed to if an agreement when were discussed. S were myseld. i) Lelains will the next office at of the amendments which would remain a separate record of the sut	reached, or any other ince Michael defended in suggested to the many suggested to the claims allowable of the interview	ner comments: scloses gr e further d are appl agter agreed would ble is available,	Jhe diff latin in perhaps re itable to a further render the cl a summary to	the core (also discheding also pedin the independent clair mean ch. A copy aims allowable thereof must be
reement was reached. Was not stim(s) discussed: Claims on ntification of prior art discussed: cription of the general nature of what we product and that a Me column 708) by flowing a column 708) by flowing when the brittleness will be attached to er description, if necessary, and a copy of the eattached. Also, where no copy of the eattached. Also, where no copy of the eattached while the paragraph above has been checked walved and MUST INCLUDE THE STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	reached. Primate as agreed to if an agreement when were discussed. S Were myseld. i) I claims will the next office as of the amendments which would ren de a separate record of the sut of to indicate to the contrary. A SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERV SIGIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	rescription: A seached, or any other Ince Michan discounts A sefer soft con B se	ner comments: scloses gr e further d are appl agter agreed would ble is available,	Jhe diff latin in perhaps re itable to a further render the cl a summary to	the core (also discheding also pedin the independent clair mean ch. A copy aims allowable thereof must be
shibit shown or demonstration conducted with shown or demonstration conducted was reached. Shas not aim(s) discussed:	reached. Primate as agreed to if an agreement when were discussed. S Were myseld. i) I claims will the next office as of the amendments which would ren de a separate record of the sut of to indicate to the contrary. A SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERV SIGIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	rescription: A seached, or any other Ince Michan discounts A sefer soft con B se	ner comments: scloses gr e further d are appl agter agreed would ble is available,	Jhe diff latin in perhaps re itable to a further render the cl a summary to	the core (also discheding also pedin the independent clair mean ch. A copy aims allowable thereof must be

Committee S. Michore, PhD is interpreted to the control of the con

Manual f Patent Examining Proc dure, Secti n 713.04 Substanc of Interview mu t B Mad f Rec rd

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting (avorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of S 1.2. Dusiness to be transacted in writing. All pushess with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively applicants of their attorneys of agents at the rational flags and the state of the doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates the or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has Examiners must complete a two-sneet carbon interies interview outlinery roll for each interview new area and are january 1, 1976 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner. 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the examiner during the interview is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the restriction of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the examiner during the interview is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the statement attributed to him. If the record is claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.